“Compliment” instead of “challenge”

analysis of Hand Alternations controlling for RSA

There is no effect of the number of hand alternations on word valence in the ANEW corpus. (But ANEW doesn’t contain every word, right? Obviously, because we had to find our own words) Also, why does ANEW have word valence already? Why would we ever need to run participants if we already have established valence?

Should we actually use HA as a continuous variable as they suggest? What would we find then?

Issues with how we created our word list. Item analysis?

They claim we need more words to be able to generalize…do we?

The whole longer words = more complicated, they challenge, why did we choose 3-4 letter words?

Test for finger repetition and valence and show how nonsignificant it is?

Finger Repetition “as a control variable,”—explanation that if RSA was correct they would all be equal?

Expertise predicting valence?

“Reporting the results of the raw regressions of Valence on FR and of Valence on HA, and then reporting analyses of these regressions with all and only the appropriate control variables, would be necessary but not sufficient to make these data interpretable.”

Calculate and report minF-prime??WTF is that? Or mixed-effects linear regression models…?

“Effect of HA on Valence in pseudowords: Not significant

Effect of typing speed on valence in real words: Presumably not significant

Effect of typing speed on valence in pseudowords: Presumably not significant

Effect of FR on valence in real words: Presumably not significant

Effect of FR on valence in pseudowords: Presumably not significant

Effect of HA on Valence in real words, in the raw data, and controlling for all and only standard psycholinguistic variables like frequency and for RHA: Presumably not significant”

^--run all these fuckers?

Can we actually calculate the power of out tests that they claim are “underpowered?”

A way to cite the blogs appropriately so it’s not slanderous.